



ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS, INC.

2700 EAST DUBLIN-GRANVILLE ROAD • SUITE 400 • COLUMBUS, OHIO 43231-4054
TELEPHONE: (614) 882-9122 • FAX: (614) 882-4260 • 1-800-635-3810

MEMORANDUM

TO: Lisa Humphreys, USACE PBOW Coordinator, and others

FROM: Julie Weatherington-Rice

RE: Bedrock Monitoring Well Placement Amendment Review Request to the Technical Review for the RAB of the "Draft Site Specific Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Investigation, Part 1, at Acid Area 1" by Jacobs Engineering

DATE: June 12, 2007

Per our current contractual arrangement with USACE which requires both a technical memorandum for each report and an educational explanation to the RAB, this memorandum constitutes the technical review of the Bedrock Monitoring Well Placement Amendment Review Request to the Jacobs report "Draft Site-Specific Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Investigation, Part 1, at Acid Area 1". Please forward these comments to those who should receive them. As this is not one of the scheduled reviews, it is not my intention to produce an educational memo at this point in time for this request. This technical memo should serve if one needs to be sent to the RAB. If an additional educational memo is required, please let me know and I will develop one.

Per an e-mail request from Rick Meadows on June 6, 2007 to me to review additional information Re: the depth of the new monitoring wells planned for Acid Area 1, I am in receipt of the following e-mail and documents from Jim Beaujon:

1. **Attached Figure 6-5 (modified Shaw Figure 6-5 from the 2004 groundwater report) shows Acid Area #1 and highlighted wells AA1-BEDGW-001 and MNTA-BEDGW-001 relative to the groundwater trough. Acid Area #1 is just south of AA1-BEDGW-001 with its eastern half covered by that well's label.**
2. **Attached portion of Table 4-2 from Shaw's 2004 groundwater report provides the well construction details for AA1-BEDGW-001 and MNTA-BEDGW-001 highlighted. Primary pieces of info are: ground surface at AA1-BEDGW-001 is at about 639 feet above mean sea level (msl); both wells are about 65 feet deep or they reach an elevation of about 574 feet above msl.**

3. Attached portion of Table 6-1 from Shaw's 2004 groundwater report provides in highlighting the groundwater elevation measurements we have for AA1-BEDGW-001 and MNTA-BEDGW-001. Relevant pieces of information. Apparently we had no monitoring well activities ongoing during the 1999 drought year as no readings are listed for that year. The lowest groundwater elevation reading we have for these two wells occurred in MNTA-BEDGW-001 in November 2002 at 606 feet above msl.
4. Our contract allows Jacobs to go as deep as 75 feet below ground surface. Generally there is some on-site professional judgment applied as to how deep to bore the hole after water is encountered but since AA1-BEDGW-001 and MNTA-BEDGW-001 are both 65 feet deep the new wells would tend to be expected to be at least that deep also.
5. I've attached the 2001 cross section (from Shaw's/IT's 2001 groundwater report), which has AA1-BEDGW-001 and MNTA-BEDGW-001 shown left of center, to help visualize the well installation. When the water level was at 606 feet msl these wells still had about 30 feet of groundwater in them.

Conclusion- Unless there is a significant (>30') drop in the water table around Acid Area #1, wells installed to a depth of 65' or more should be ok.

Jim Beaujon

Introduction to This Review and General Water Level Recommendation

It is important to note that all of these documents were prepared before I became involved in this project and they demonstrate precisely the reason for generating regular water level maps for the area each time water levels are taken at the site. I understand that these water levels are now taken quarterly. It would be extremely helpful to provide tabular water level results by well (calibrated to above mean sea level data and/or with the calibration information attached) and a blank site map of the well locations at the RAB meetings so that members of the RAB and other members of the review team could create their own water level maps for their own reviews if they so choose. This level of request should significantly minimize the actual time required by US ACE staff to support as opposed to requesting staff and/or contractors to add an additional work effort, without funding, for the actual creation of fully developed ground water flow maps. It is important to separate the wells by shale/overburden and by limestone (and any other classification that may exist) since water levels from one type of well should be kept separate from other types of wells. If such a well location site map is available and/or developed, it should extend far enough north and east to include the Wagner Quarry sump since this ground water level is now known and basically "fixed" at 460 feet amsl (above mean sea level).

My review comment 12 to the original report stated as follows:

Figure 5-1 Acid Area 1 Groundwater Sampling Locations

12. The depths of the new bedrock ground water monitoring wells need to be determined, in part, by the lowest static water levels at AA1-BEDGW-001 and MNTA-BEDGW-001 for late summer and fall of 1999 if there are readings for that period for these wells. This was the last serious drought year for this area. In addition, the pumping cone from the Wagner Quarry must also be considered in determining the target depth for the three new wells. If they are finished too high, they will be dry part or all of the time.

Review from Tables 4-2 and 6-1

From the information on Table 6-1, it is apparent that not only were AA1-BEDGW-001 and MNTA-BEDGW-001 not measured during the drought year of 1999 to 2000, none of the other wells on the site were measured either so we have absolutely no idea how much lower the levels were during that drought period then that have been during other periods of time. We have data provided for May 5, 1998 and September 20, 2000 which bracket the drought period, but they are too early and too late a set of readings to be useful predictors of how much water levels fell over the site during the drought. We do know from ODNR's records, what the annual dewatering records for the Wagner Quarry are as follows for those years (but please remember that these numbers reflect calendar years and so therefore do not measure the reduced pumping rates for the worst part of the drought).

1998	332,280,000 gallons dewatered for the year
1999	242,100,000 gallons dewatered for the year
2000	275,310,000 gallons dewatered for the year
2001	308,690,000 gallons dewatered for the year
2002	328,810,000 gallons dewatered for the year.
2003	400,860,000 gallons dewatered for the year
2004	376,110,000 gallons dewatered for the year

When I review the water level measurements for AA1-BEDGW-001, MNTA-BEDGW-001, and BED-MW16, I don't see any obvious patterns from the data presented on the tables. There is no obvious change between 05/05/1998 (632.96' amsl [above mean sea level]) and 09/20/00 (630.70' amsl) for BED-MW16. The second reading is 2.26 feet lower than the first one. However, the next time the wells were checked, in 01/17/01, there was no measurement taken for that well (but I don't know why because that information is not present on the table).

The 08/15/01 reading for BED-MW16 was 630.14' amsl but the follow up reading on 11/15/01 was 605.56' amsl which is a huge drop of 24.58 feet. After that, the well is not monitored for 02/27/02, 05/04/02, 08/06/02, and 11/21/02 (with no explanation as to why that is the case). On 03/18,19/03, that well is back up to 632.95' amsl, just about where it was in 05/05/1998. The bottom of that well is 559.36' amsl.

AA1-BEDGW-001 has a completely different pattern. That well begins to be measured 11/12/97 and, with the exception of one round in 01/17/01 where it is not measured either (again with no explanation as to why), all of the readings except one range in the 609 to 613' amsl range. The one notable exception is 09/20/00 where it jumps up to 626.74' amsl, a 14.8 foot increase from the previous reading.

MNTA-BEDGW-001 also begins to be measured 11/12/97. It shows its highest reading on 05/05/98 of 612.80' amsl, it is NOT measured on 09/20/00 or on 01/17/01 like the other two wells (again with no explanation on the table), but then it seems to settle down and for the last seven rounds, the water level has been maintained between 607 and 608' amsl. There is no obvious and/or predictable pattern to these three wells during the drought period. In addition, wells that are in close proximity to each other are behaving in opposite directions and, for some undocumented reason(s), then not being measured. The jumping around of the numbers in the years of 1998 to 2001 look like the wells are being influenced by something(s) on site and/or off site but it is not clear without constructing water level maps for these years and collecting data for on site and off site pumping operations what or why these patterns exist. It may also have something to do with precipitation, but if that was the case, the wells should be moving more in tandem than they are. Please see my Table 1 which is an excerpt from Table 6-1.

Table 1

Water Levels over time for Selected Wells

<u>Date</u>	<u>AA1-BEDGW-001</u>	<u>MNTA-BEDGW-001</u>	<u>BED-MW16</u>
05/05/98	611.94	612.80	632.96
09/20/00	626.74	----	630.70
01/17/01	----	----	----
08/15/01	610.04	609.00	630.41
11/15/01	608.88	607.41	605.56
02/27/02	610.11	608.21	---- (k)

Notes: (k) Note for MK-MW17 put in the BED-MW16 column of the table and then not monitored any more

Given the lack of information available, the only suggestion that I can make about the installation of the new monitoring wells as is related to the 1999 low water levels of the drought year is to make them as deep as possible and make the screen length and/or the sand pack length as long as possible so as to take advantage of the intersection of as many vertical fractures as can be intersected by the well boring. The horizontal bedding layers generally supply less ground water flow than the vertical jointing fractures. However, because of the karstic nature of these formations, there can be significant solution enhancement of the horizontal bedding planes in some settings.

Care should be taken when logging the holes to note all the bedding planes and vertical fractures intersected. This is especially true if the coring rods drop through voids as the core is being taken. We have experienced that situation when drilling the

Delaware/Columbus Limestones close to the Olentangy/Ohio Shale crop here in Franklin County on more than one occasion. If that does occur, the distance of drop should be noted on the log as it will not be obvious when looking at the core in the core box. Photographic documentation of the full cores is also very helpful for other reviewers. If it is possible to annotate the photos and/or the logs by reference, that would also be helpful if it is not beyond the scope of the original contract.

Questions Generated from this part of the Review

1. Any explanations relating to the changing water levels at the three wells discussed over time would be appreciated. I am especially perplexed about the fact that unusual high readings and unusual low readings can occur at the same time in adjacent wells.

Review from Figure 6-5, Delaware Limestone Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (August 2004)

Typically in this part of Ohio, unless ground water levels are being stressed by ground water withdrawals for public water supplies and/or dewatering, static water levels closely mirror the flat surface topography. Once Lake Erie is reached (here Sandusky Bay), the lake level becomes the same as the ground water level and as you move out into the lake, the land level falls beneath the level of the lake. That is not the picture that is presented in this Figure 6-5. While the land surface is relatively flat, for instance, the drop in surface elevation from BED-MW16 (633.36' amsl) to BED-MW33 (619.87' amsl) is only 13.49' drop in elevation; the ground water drop is from 632.73 at BED-MW19 to 545.83' amsl at BED-MW33 for a drop of 86.9' over the same distance. According to the Erie County Soil Survey GIS map, the distance between BED-MW16 and BED-MW33 is about 8,500' for a ground water gradient of just over 0.01 or ~1:100. A gradient of 0.0018 or ~1:560 would have been more typical for this area. In fact, the static water level at BED-MW33 is on the order of 25 feet below the level of Lake Erie. Assuming that there is no physical problem with the construction of the well that static water level reading cannot physically happen unless there is a pumping source some point to the northeast beyond BED-MW33 that is exerting its influence as far south as BED-MW16. The southeast corner sump of the Wagner Quarry is about 3,500' beyond BED-MW33. The direction of ground water lowering is determined by placing a perpendicular line across the ground water contours. Such an arrow is shown near well IT-MW06. There the direction of ground water flow is projected to be to the northeast.

In fact, with the exception of the flat area to the southwest of the NASA Reactor, almost the entire portion of the site shown in the Figure 6-5 that was supplied to me is demonstrating significant static water lowering to the northeast. The corner of Acid Area 2 that is shown on this abbreviated version of what probably is a larger figure, shows a reversal in ground water flow to the southwest in the area of AA2-BEDGW-001. In addition, there are several locations where contour lines are drawn through water levels which do not support the placement of the lines.

Questions Generated from this part of the Review

2. Why is there at 86.9 foot drop between BED-MW16 and BED-MW33?
3. Why is the static water level reported in BED-MW33 approximately 25' below Lake Erie?
4. Does this Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (August 2004) generate information that supports a connection to the dewatering sump in the southeast corner of the Wagner Quarry? If not, why not? What other explanation can be developed for the significant changes in the ground water gradient over the site, especially to the north of the site?
5. The 630 contour is placed next to TANTA-BEDGW-001 but the Static Water level reading for that well is 604.45? Why did this happen?
6. By the time that this map is generated, BED-MW27, another "stinky" but also contaminated well on the northern perimeter of the site has been abandoned. The Abandoned well sits between the 600 and 595 foot contours. However, the static water levels for this well were never measured at higher than 582.54' amsl during the first measurement, falling to 573.69' amsl at its last reading. These historic lower water levels were not considered when the August 2004 map was drawn. Why?
7. There are a series of limestone bedrock monitoring wells surrounding the NASA Reactor. The 600' contour line is drawn through this group of points. However, the ground water elevations range from a high of 603.31' amsl at REACTOR 1 to a low of 577.46' amsl at RA-08D on the same contour. In addition, BED-MW23, which is considerably south of the NASA Reactor measures 599.77' amsl. Why was this contour constructed in this manner disregarding the two anomalous readings? Could the contours have been constructed in another way to honor the disregarded water level elevations at RA-08D and BED-MW23?
8. Could there be a relationship between the low levels at 2BG-BEDGW-001, BED-MW23, RA-08D, the abandoned BED-MW27, and BED-MW33 which seem to bisect the site? Where does the base of the "dry well" at Acid Area 3 fall into this set of "low" elevations? The static water level at 2BG-BEDGW-001 is still approximately 136 feet higher than the sump at the Wagner Quarry.
9. There are a group of three wells at the No. 2 Burning Grounds. They range in elevations between 615.50' amsl for 2BG-BEDGW-003 to 595.99' amsl for 2BG-BEDGW-001. The 610' contour is drawn through the set with the 615.50' amsl well down gradient of the 610 foot contour and the 595.99' amsl well up gradient of the 610 foot contour. Why did that happen?
10. If the contours were redrawn to better honor the static water level elevations in all of the measured wells, would that alter the "flat area between TANTA-BEDGW-001 (608.21' amsl) and the NASA Reactor high reading of 603.31' amsl measured at REACTOR 1.
11. This section of the site, referred to as the "groundwater trough" by Jacobs and Shaw has a drop of only 4.9 feet between these two monitoring wells. It is the flattest portion of the site, given the current contour arrangement. Why is it called the "groundwater trough"?
12. Ohio Department of Natural Resources Div. of Water tells us that the regional ground water flow is basically in a northern direction to Lake Erie? Why is there a ground water reversal in Acid Area 2, showing ground water flow to the southwest? What mechanism, either on-site or off-site is controlling this static

ground water dip to the southwest? Has any source been identified? Has any source been looked for? Are there data points beyond the portion of the map that I have that establishes a continuing downward trend?

While there may well be logical answers and/or explanations for the questions I have raised, those answers and/or explanations are not clearly contained in the information that is provided to me. Instead, my review of the August 2004 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map indicates a sharp downward gradient from the area of BED-MW16 to the north-northeast the the Wagner Quarry sump. In addition, there seems to be some control exerted by the NASA Reactor sump but its actual impact is not easily observed because of the number of data points that are not honored by the contour lines. At a minimum, this static ground water map of August 2004 does not have the appearance of a site that exists under natural static water conditions.

It will be important to take into consideration these relationships when the locations and depths for the new monitoring wells at Acid Area 1 are installed. Even more importantly, it will be critically important to take these static water level relationships into consideration when new monitoring well sites are selected for the ground water remediation of the various contaminated areas of the site. Different gradients will result in different times-of-travel across and off the site.

This concludes this preliminary review of the materials that have been provided to me for the purpose of determining the depths and screen lengths for the new Acid Area 1 limestone ground water wells. These tables and maps have triggered more questions in my mind than they have resolved. I am hoping that explanations are forthcoming. Please forward these comments to those who should receive them, including the relevant people at Jacobs and Shaw. I will be looking forward to their responses. If you have any additional questions and/or need further information from me regarding this review, please feel free to contact me. I will be pleased to walk you through the information contained on the August 2004 carbonate static ground water map as best I can.

Table 6-1

Summary of Groundwater Elevation Measurements
2004 Groundwater Data Summary and Evaluation Report
Former Plum Brook Ordnance Works, Sandusky, Ohio

(Page 7 of 10)

WEL Well/Station	Coordinates (Dist to Plume) ^a Northing (ft)	Easting (ft)	Top of Casing Elevation ^b (ft.msl)	Ground Elevation ^c (ft.msl)	Groundwater Elevation Measurements (Elevations shown are feet (ft.msl))															
					12/04	12/19/04	01/05	02/19/05	10/06	01/19/07	02/07	02/01/08	11/02	11/21/09	3/08	02/04/10				
Monitoring Wells Screened in Limestone (Delaware)																				
A41-BEDGW001	425609	191719	641.04	630.90	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	20.92	919.22	96.50	616.34		
A42-BEDGW001	425600	191652	644.96	641.60	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	13.11	635.95	11.58	632.66		
A43-BEDGW001	425627	191497	636.43	634.15	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	23.22	913.21	21.53	614.90		
INTA-BEDGW001	425629	191606	636.40	636.26	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	25.04	919.26	27.05	611.25		
RED-MW01	425644	191215	647.95	645.49	46.10	507.65	28.66	613.29	28.18	621.79	27.89	620.53	27.04	620.67	40.47	909.48				
RED-MW04	425732	191547	645.72	642.73	23.96	621.76	21.32	624.26	20.49	625.21	18.01	627.21	16.71	626.03	16.44	629.26				
RED-MW03	426176	191693	634.21	633.75	27.77	605.34	32.49	591.91	21.98	610.22	23.95	615.26	21.12	616.79	20.54	616.87				
RED-MW05	426296	191664	635.70	635.30	64.92	621.29	5.02	631.68	6.53	630.17	11.00	629.20	7.43	628.29	2.08	623.42				
RED-MW07	426417	192411	628.63	627.62	37.26	607.37	35.75	602.96	20.08	602.76	26.49	603.17	27.75	601.93	26.85	602.89				
RED-MW09	426649	192849	631.18	640.51	20.15	620.22	20.79	620.28	23.70	621.61	20.58	620.90	20.22	620.49	21.05	621.69				
RED-MW11	426668	191514	642.75	640.19	21.66	621.27	19.20	621.82	19.89	622.93	19.85	622.90	19.55	622.21	19.00	623.78				
RED-MW02	426710	191897	629.67	627.22	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
RED-MW03	426809	191810	628.71	624.11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
RED-MW04	426916	190818	640.46	644.20	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
RED-MW07 (Abandoned)	427088	192071	627.16	625.24	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
RED-MW05	426982	190716	640.87	647.26	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
RED-MW01	426104	192192	619.74	619.87	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
INTA-BEDGW001	426447	192160	628.70	626.39	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
INTC-BEDGW001	426224	191262	667.04	664.04	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
RED-BEDGW001	422296	191206	646.66	643.88	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
RED-BEDGW002	422194	191310	664.27	661.87	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
RED-BEDGW003	422062	191346	676.89	674.22	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
RAM-001	426107	191803	637.67	639.10	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
SA-001	426085	191900	634.34	632.40	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
RA-001	426049	191802	632.96	631.16	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
REACT-001	426779	191769	620.61	620.46	--	--	--	--	--	--	16.32	616.10	22.26	608.41	30.25	607.26				
REACT-002	426661	191803	621.05	614.30	16.24	614.71	24.16	601.86	--	--	14.43	616.66	4.81	616.14	19.63	610.52				
REACT-003	426649	191818	621.21	621.12	--	--	--	--	--	--	14.52	616.21	22.17	609.24	17.45	609.76				
REACT-004	426631	191817	610.85	620.44	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
PT-0012 (private well)	426232	190741	626.22	624.81	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				

Table 6-1

Summary of Groundwater Elevation Measurements
2004 Groundwater Data Summary and Evaluation Report
Former Plum Brook Ordnance Works, Sandusky, Ohio

(Page 8 of 10)

WEL Well/Station	Groundwater Elevation Measurements (Elevations shown are feet (ft.msl))																	
	05/05	05/06/05	05/07	05/08/05	11/07	09/20/01	01/05	06/14/01	10/02	10/02/01	02/07/01	02/07/02	04/07	04/07/02	04/07	11/07	11/07/02	
Monitoring Wells Screened in Limestone (Delaware)																		
A41-BEDGW001	20.1	611.94	14.36	619.74	--	--	31.06	612.04	32.16	629.89	30.99	610.1	32.20	605.24	31.90	606.14	31.20	609.74
A42-BEDGW001	3.89	624.17	14.90	628.28	--	--	13.25	622.01	15.91	629.15	15.56	626.86	13.62	622.44	13.63	626.45	16.47	627.26
A43-BEDGW001	21.62	624.85	23.26	614.23	24.90	611.53	15.61	610.92	27.90	604.85	29.66	615.7	25.90	610.52	26.60	606.71	29.70	607.70
INTA-BEDGW001	23.80	622.80	--	--	--	29.40	625.00	30.91	627.41	30.19	626.11	31.90	626.90	32.99	626.02	32.43	626.07	
RED-MW01	41.40	606.66	27.90	620.05	26.40	619.55	30.31	617.94	45.07	622.28	37.63	610.81	36.67	601.28	36.64	611.41	33.32	614.09
RED-MW04	15.99	624.72	16.80	628.82	17.40	627.82	16.63	628.08	21.73	620.96	18.19	627.3	17.20	629.42	15.62	626.15	23.10	621.02
RED-MW03	19.79	621.52	22.40	610.91	18.80	622.51	17.13	614.18	31.59	604.13	23.16	627.35	30.05	601.26	21.47	609.44	22.96	608.46
RED-MW05	2.74	622.96	6.50	620.25	--	--	5.29	625.41	20.14	626.90	NM	--	--	--	--	--	--	
RED-MW07	28.40	603.25	26.80	608.95	5.07	629.60	29.20	620.52	21.00	626.15	29.10	626.00	31.62	609.00	30.92	608.73	31.00	606.10
RED-MW09	31.72	605.46	35.96	610.28	31.80	619.08	21.79	619.39	28.52	619.08	32.43	616.75	22.58	618.98	22.94	618.14	32.66	616.02
RED-MW10	18.45	624.20	21.20	621.85	21.20	620.80	24.29	617.28	24.29	627.84	23.27	616.48	22.75	622.00	26.28	616.57	27.58	615.17
RED-MW02	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	22.78	608.71	28.20	621.17	32.21	606.18	34.73	625.54
RED-MW03	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	80.89	621.91	71.04	600.67	67.38	606.19	61.60	621.01
RED-MW04	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	33.88	620.19	24.80	621.18	27.88	619.18	35.29	609.02
RED-MW07 (Abandoned)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	44.90	602.14	46.10	601.04	46.90	601.14	52.40	629.28
RED-MW05	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
RED-MW03	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
INTA-BEDGW001	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	32.08	624.29	64.96	623.69	60.84	627.69	32.44	606.29
INTC-BEDGW001	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	17.61	629.80	35.90	610.08	59.81	627.73	19.25	627.73
ZPG-BEDGW001	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
ZPG-BEDGW002	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
ZPG-BEDGW003	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
KA-001	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
KA-002	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
REACT-001	32.30	604.21	25.30	619.01	31.60	608.71	30.20	609.21	36.24	607.87	NM	--	--	--	--	--	--	
REACT-002	27.97	603.56	27.40	620.88	27.10	622.88	31.19	620.20	34.97	607.06	NM	--	--	--	--	--	--	
REACT-003	37.68	604.42	35.60	605.61	35.20	605.61	34.43	604.78	34.42	604.78	NM	--	--	--	--	--	--	
REACT-004	--	--	20.40	618.47	20.20	619.23	20.99	618.18	20.49	620.43	NM	--	--	--	--	--	--	
PT-0012 (private well)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	

Table 6-1

Summary of Groundwater Elevation Measurements
2004 Groundwater Data Summary and Evaluation Report
Former Plum Brook Ordnance Works, Sandusky, Ohio

(Page 9 of 10)

Well Identification	Groundwater Elevation Measurements (feet above mean sea level + foot)																
	5/07	05/08	10/03	5/07	01/13-21/05	8/03	8/5/2003	9/03	07/03	11/02	11/16/03	3/07	03/1/2004	6/07	05/11/2004	8/07	08/10-11/04
Monitoring Wells Screened to Lithosphere (Delaware)																	
AAL-BEDD-0201	31.51	329.23	31.40	329.64	31.29	329.96	31.21	329.87 ^a	31.02	310.22 ^b	31.39	329.81 ^c	31.44	329.60 ^d	31.00	310.04 ^e	
AND-BE-DW-001	33.09	329.04	30.30	327.16	34.30	330.26	34.25	329.81	34.56	329.48	32.54	331.12	31.14	322.62	31.08	322.36	
AAS-BE-DW-001	33.18	330.80	27.50	328.30	25.81	329.50	27.18	329.25	27.50	328.83	26.63	329.80	26.15	312.24	26.10	310.23	
NMTS-BE-DW-001	31.28	326.61	31.22	327.19	30.69	327.21	31.13	327.27	31.20	327.20	30.90	327.41	30.88	327.29	30.81	327.29	
RED-WV03	31.24	316.61	31.22	316.73	30.80	317.15	31.32	316.63	31.71	316.24	30.85	317.40	30.40	317.49	30.24	317.21	
RED-WV04	31.03	324.64	30.80	327.14	18.54	327.18	19.20	326.12	19.80	325.62	16.96	326.71	16.50	326.22	17.64	326.03	
RED-WV05	32.55	328.72	32.40	328.51	32.10	328.21	32.35	328.06	32.20	328.11	32.16	328.15	32.05	310.48	32.07	321.24	
RED-WV06	31.10	322.80	31.08	323.05	31.10	323.00	31.11	322.84 ^f	31.30	322.20	21.82	322.81	21.80	323.00	21.87	323.73	
RED-WV07	32.43	327.22	31.58	328.00	31.20	327.95	32.71	326.54	31.55	326.02	32.71	326.54	32.66	326.29	32.56	327.07	
RED-WV08	32.25	317.83	33.30	317.60	33.05	318.13	32.98	318.19	33.39	317.80	32.95	318.23	33.17	317.91	33.04	318.14	
RED-WV09	33.09	317.87	34.80	317.80	24.36	318.20	25.47	317.20	23.29	317.46	23.89	318.17	23.26	318.34	24.28	318.07	
RED-WV22	32.90	326.77	31.58	328.12	31.25	328.42	31.30	328.21	31.12	328.55	30.90	329.17	30.73	322.84	31.85	321.82	
RED-WV23	34.50	327.21	32.10	331.00	48.20	327.01	44.50	329.21	41.80	331.81	36.91	328.04 ^g	34.12	328.10 ^h	33.94	328.77	
RED-WV24	27.39	318.03	26.20	319.58	26.83	319.48	27.52	318.10	27.48	318.10	25.81	328.17	25.49	325.40	27.00	318.80	
RED-WV27 (private well)	AB	--	AB	--	AB	--	AB	--	AB	--	AB	--	AB	--	AB	--	AB
RED-WV28	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	27.00	315.21	27.00	316.00
RED-WV29	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	31.15	328.29	31.01	343.80
TNTA-BE-DW-001	47.82	340.80 ⁱ	44.85	345.10 ^j	41.81	347.20 ^k	40.30	346.40 ^l	39.20	346.40 ^m	37.22	349.40 ⁿ	35.64	343.10 ^o	34.22	340.40 ^p	
TNTC-BE-DW-001	53.11	349.23	50.24	353.03	52.30	354.74	50.10	352.72	51.30	352.72	47.71	355.23	47.00	356.54	50.80	350.21	
2B3-BE-DW-001	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	49.67	355.99	
2B2-BE-DW-002	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	71.75	351.52	
2B3-BE-DW-003	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	61.99	316.50	
RA-MV-05 ^q	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	21.00	323.07	
RA-DV ^r	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	36.00	327.84	
RA-BV ^s	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	50.00	317.40	
REACTOR1 ^t	--	--	--	--	--	--	27.49	323.00	28.2	--	NM	--	NM	--	26.00	323.81	
REACTOR2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	28.2	--	NM	--	NM	--	1.00	--	
REACTOR3	--	--	--	--	--	--	37.02	324.19	38.2	--	NM	--	NM	--	35.40	325.81	
REACTOR4	--	--	--	--	--	--	19.86	319.97	20.2	--	NM	--	NM	--	1.00	--	
PT-0127 (private well)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	7.53	329.10	

Table 6-1

Summary of Groundwater Elevation Measurements
2004 Groundwater Data Summary and Evaluation Report
Former Plum Brook Ordnance Works, Sandusky, Ohio

(Page 10 of 10)

- ^a Northings and Eastings are based to the Ohio State Plane Coordinate System (North Zone), NAD 1983. Vertical datum is NAVD 1989.
- ^b Data from Curran & Moore, Stevick Groundwater Investigation Final Report (4/97).
- ^c Data from Curran & Moore, Stevick Groundwater Investigation Final Report (4/97).
- ^d Data from IT Corporation, 8th Well Groundwater Investigation Report (9/07).
- ^e Data from IT Corporation, 1st Quarterly Water Level Measurement Event Report (10/07).
- ^f Data from IT Corporation, 2nd Quarterly Water Level Measurement and 1st Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling Event Report (5/08).
- ^g Data from IT Corporation, 3rd Quarterly Water Level Measurement Event Report (8/07).
- ^h Data from IT Corporation, 4th Quarterly Water Level Measurement and 2nd Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling Event Report (2/09).
- ⁱ Data received from ICI Field Measurements.
- ^j Symbol denoted data are not available.
- ^k Monitoring well W-01017 near out and reworked August 2003. Previous TOC elevation was 324.32. GW elevation is set to August 10 based on previous TOC measurements. Hydrocarbon product suspected in well. No measurement recorded.
- ^l Groundwater elevation corrected for hydrocarbon product in well. TOC elevation = (depth to groundwater - product thickness) x 0.19.
- ^m AB - Abandoned.
- ⁿ Temporary observation IT-MV07 abandoned 4/11/02.
- ^o Monitoring well PT-MV06 abandoned in January 2003 for site remediation (R, September 2002) PFS report.
- ^p Monitoring well PT-BE-MV07 was abandoned at the public request in January 2003 during "muskrats" (hydrogen sulfide) odors.
- ^q Date, depth to groundwater recorded on August 17, 2004. Depth to groundwater in nearby well RA-D1 was approximately 1/4 foot higher in the well on August 17 as compared to August 10 or 11, 2004. Therefore, 0.6 foot was added to the depth to water to make the elevation comparable.
- ^r Date, depth to groundwater recorded on August 24, 2004. Depth to groundwater in nearby wells PT-BE-MV02 and PT-BE-MV05 was approximately 0.5 feet deeper August 24 as compared to August 10 or 11, 2004. Therefore, 0.9 foot was subtracted from the depth to water to make the elevation comparable.
- ^s Survey coordinates and water level measurements for wells RA-MV-02 through RA-MV-06 were obtained from NASA. Horizontal coordinates estimated. Vertical coordinates used the Ohio State Plane system. Groundwater level measured August 17, 2004.
- ^t Surveyed August 2004 using Reactor Area benchmark. Benchmark was not certified. Groundwater levels measured by NASA on August 17, 2004.

FROM  Shaw Environmental Inc.

2004 GROUNDWATER DATA SUMMARY
AND EVALUATION REPORT
FORMER PLUM BROOK ORDNANCE WORKS
NASA PLUM BROOK STATION
SAWDUSKY, OHIO

FIGURE 6-5
DELAWARE LIMESTONE GROUNDWATER
ELEVATION CONTOUR MAP (AUGUST 2004)

NDA	JOB NO.	ISSUING NO.	REV.
SITEWIDE	843856	843856_01.DWG	

