DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
HUNTSVILLE CENTER, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
P.O. BOX 1600
HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA 35807-4301

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

CEHNC–CX-MM

26 March 2008

MEMORANDUM FOR Commander, US Army Engineer District, Huntington, (Mr. Rick Meadows/CELRH-PM-PP-P) 502 8th Street, Huntington, WV 25701

SUBJECT: DERP-FUDS, Revised Inventory Project Report (INPR) for Property No. G03WV0013 West Virginia Maneuver Area/Dolly Sods

1. The US Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville (USAESCH) has reviewed the revised INPR. Enclosed are copies of USAESCH's comments.

2. The point of contact is the undersigned, Ms. Lisa Harris, at (256) 895-1344.

LISA B. HARRIS
Inventory Project Report Manager

2 Encls
1. CEHND Form 7 (Becker)
2. CEHND Form 7 (McCowan)

CF:
Commander, US Army Engineer Division, Great Lakes and Ohio River, (CELRD-PDM/Patty Bertsch), 550 Main Street, Room 10032,Cincinnati, OH 45202-3222
Commander, HQUSACE, (CEMP-RF/Jeff Waugh), 441 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20314-1000

U. S. ARMY ENGINEERING AND SUPPORT CENTER - HUNTSVILLE 08-077-02 WVMA Dolly Sods

CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DESIGN REVIEW COMMENTS

- ☐ SITE DEV & GEO
- ☐ ENVIR PROT& UTIL
- ☐ ARCHITECTURAL
- ☐ STRUCTURAL
- ☐ MECHANICAL
- ☐ MFG TECHNOLOGY
- ☐ ELECTRICAL
- ☐ INST & CONTROLS
- ☐ SAFETY
- ☐ ADV TECH
- ☐ ESTIMATING
- ☐ SPECIFICATIONS
- ☐ SYSTEMS ENG
- ☐ VALUE ENG
- ■ OE CX

REVIEW: MRSPP
DATE: 20 Mar 2008
NAME: Dave Becker/CEHNC-CX-MM/256-895-1513

ITEM	DRAWING NO. OR REFERENCE	COMMENT	ACTION
1	Entire Document	No review could be accomplished due to missing tables, information and references	
2	general	Which came first the INPR or the PA??	
3	App N	I noticed that no table A was used in any of the MRSPPs to identify which MRS is being addressed. Why not use forms already in created? Primer has examples of the tables as does EKO. This was a comment on Mr. Zange 's Fm 7 when he reviewed the PA on 3 Mar 2008.	
4	Tables in general	In the notes section on any table there need to be a ref paragraph or note to support your decision. Especially in the first tables of any of the modules.	
	CHE	If table 11 is 0 for CWM then only 11 and 20 need to be filled out for this module. Result is "No known or suspected CWM" See instructions in the primer for MRSPP on EKO	
5		To be consistent throughout the process the MRSPP should always be APP K in the documents your creating. SI/RI/FS/ RA	
		----------LAST ITEM----------	

ACTION CODES
A - ACCEPTED/CONCUR
D - ACTION DEFERRED
W - WITHDRAWN
N - NON-CONCUR
VE - VE POTENTIAL/VEP ATTACHED

-----Original Message-----
From: McCowan, Bradford L HNC
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 10:42 AM
To: 'Harris, Lisa B HNC'
Cc: Veith, William D HNC
Subject: WV Maneuver Area/Dolly Sods

Lisa,
I have reviewed the Revised above named INPR and have the following comments:
1. Need memo from District Real Estate that they reviewed and concur or non-concur
2. Need memo from District Counsel that they reviewed and concur or non-concur
3. Need to change all the MRSPP CHE Modules to reflect either a score of 7 or maintain the "No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard" cannot have both.

Thanks,

Bradford McCowan
US Army Corps of Engineers
FUDS SI Program Manager
256-895-1174
Cell: 256-426-4214